

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/485,596	10/16/2000	Klaus Huber	2345/113	6178
26646 7	590 11/08/2004		EXAMINER	
KENYON & KENYON			DEPPE, BETSY LEE	
ONE BROADWAY NEW YORK, NY 10004			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
·			2637	

DATE MAILED: 11/08/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
		09/485,596	HUBER ET AL.				
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
		Betsy L. Deppe	2637				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication a	appears on the cover sheet	with the correspondence add	ress			
A SH THE - Exte after - If the - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REF MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION asions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reperiod for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perion to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by state reply received by the Office later than three months after the material patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	N. 1.136(a). In no event, however, may reply within the statutory minimum of the od will apply and will expire SIX (6) Mountain the cause the application to become	a reply be timely filed nirty (30) days will be considered timely. DNTHS from the mailing date of this com ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	nmunication.			
Status							
1)	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>05</u>	August 2004.					
2a)	This action is FINAL . 2b) T	his action is non-final.					
3)⊠	Since this application is in condition for allow closed in accordance with the practice under		•	merits is			
Dispositi	on of Claims		•				
5)⊠ 6)⊡ 7)⊠	Claim(s) <u>13-23</u> is/are pending in the applica 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withd Claim(s) <u>13-21 and 23</u> is/are allowed. Claim(s) is/are rejected. Claim(s) <u>22</u> is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	rawn from consideration.					
Applicati	on Papers						
_	9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10)⊠	0) \boxtimes The drawing(s) filed on <u>05 August 2004</u> is/are: a) \square accepted or b) \boxtimes objected to by the Examiner.						
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
11)	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corn The oath or declaration is objected to by the			, ,			
Priority u	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for forei All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority docume 2. Certified copies of the priority docume 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority docume application from the International Bure see the attached detailed Office action for a li	ents have been received. ents have been received in riority documents have bee eau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	Application No on received in this National S	tage			
Attachmen	:(s)						
	e of References Cited (PTO-892)		Summary (PTO-413)				
3) 🔲 Inforr	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/0 No(s)/Mail Date		o(s)/Mail Date Informal Patent Application (PTO-1 	152)			

DETAILED ACTION

Drawings

1. The drawings were received on August 5, 2005. Figures 6 and 7 of these drawings are not approved. Figure 6 was added to show the modulating step recited in claim 19. However, the placement of the modulating step in Figure 6 is inconsistent with the accepted or common occurrence of the modulation step and therefore, Figure 6 does not appear to make sense. Usually, the modulating step occurs after coding and before transmission of the signal to the receiver. Therefore, step 33 should occur between steps 30 and 31 in Figure 6.

Although Figure 7 was added to show the features of claim 22, claim 22 is an apparatus claim whereas Figure 7 shows the steps of a method claim. Although Figure 7 appears to show the limitations of claim 22, it does not show the recited limitations. Furthermore, the method shown in Figure 7 is inconsistent with the circuits shown in Figures 3 and 4. Below, the Examiner has proposed changes to claim 22 to overcome the objection to under 37 CFR 1.83(a).

Any changes that are made to Figures 6 and 7 must also be reflected in the two new paragraphs that were added after the first paragraph on page 8.

Specification

2. The disclosure is objected to because of the following informalities: the Brief Description of the Drawings do not includes Figures 6 and 7 that were added in the

Art Unit: 2637

amendment. The applicant is reminded that the two new paragraphs that were added after the first paragraph on page 8 must correspond to any changes to Figures 6 and 7 in response to the Examiner's objections to the drawings (see above). Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Objections

3. Claim 22 is objected to because of the following informalities: on line 6, "a respective second" should be "the respective first" in order to be consistent with Figures 3 and/or 4. Figures 3 and 4 show only a single discriminator that is connected to a first and second integrator. Appropriate correction is required.

Allowable Subject Matter

4. Claims 13-21 and 23 are allowed.

Conclusion

5. This application is in condition for allowance except for the following formal matters: objections to the drawings, specification and claim.

Prosecution on the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex* parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

A shortened statutory period for reply to this action is set to expire **TWO**MONTHS from the mailing date of this letter.

Application/Control Number: 09/485,596 Page 4

Art Unit: 2637

6. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Betsy L. Deppe whose telephone number is (571) 272-3054. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday, Wednesday and Thursday (8:30-4:00).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Jay Patel can be reached on (571) 272 - 2988. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Betsy L. Deppe Primary Examiner Art Unit 2637